

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,459	01/21/2004	Masato Yamada	247925US2	1407	
22850	7590 09/0	9/2005	EXAMINER		
•	PIVAK, MCCLE	RAO, SHEELA S			
1940 DUKE	STREET				
ALEXAND	RIA, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2125	2125	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ſ						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/760,459	YAMADA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sheela Rao	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ju</u>	ne 2005.					
, , ,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastias sandaras is					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		·				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	□ i -	(DTO 140)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) U Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/760,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendments and response filed on June 8, 2005 have been entered and considered.

Claims 1-13 have been amended, are pending, and presented for examination.

Response to Amendment

- 3. The objections made to the specification are <u>withdrawn</u> in light of the amendments made to the originally filed specification.
- 4. The rejections made to claims 1-13 under 35 USC§112, 2nd paragraph, are <u>withdrawn</u> in light of the amendments made to the instant claims.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-13 under 35 USC §102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 6,219,586 B1 to Sakai is <u>withdrawn</u> in light of the amendments and Applicant's remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 4,584,649 to Komanduri et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,064,982 to Puri.

The patented invention by Komanduri et al. (hereinafter "Komanduri") discloses a manual or automatic control machine process for workpieces wherein the cutting conditions are set. In doing so, the limitations of the instant invention are suggested. The selection of the cutting tools, recommendations of

cutting conditions, the calculation of data for operating parameters for proper tools, and the adjustment of data as per changes are taught by Komanduri as stated in the disclosure of the reference of prior art.

However, Komanduri fails to particularly teach the use of databases and the preparation of a tool sheet as per the limitations of the instant invention. For this aspect of the instant invention, the patented invention of Puri is relied upon. Puri teaches of a automated template or a data sheet for a process to be completed. The data sheet as taught by Puri is developed using by collecting customer or user input which is then merged into a proposal template so that a product can be configured to meet the customer's needs. The system as disclosed by Puri uses a database to maintain and store data, both the product as well as the requirements, and enables a user to view the information through displays. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the automated cutting condition recommendations and selections as disclosed by Komanduri with the cataloging technique as per Puri. Greater ease and manageability is a significant result with the combination of the calculations and recommendations as established Komanduri with the data storage and retrieval allowed by the configuration as taught by Puri.

For the reasons stated above, the limitations of the claimed invention is taught by the prior arts of record; thereby, rendering the instant claims unpatentable.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

Application/Control Number: 10/760,459

Art Unit: 2125

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

Page 4

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Sheela Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3751. The examiner can normally be

reached Monday - Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo

Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The fax number for the organization where this application

or any proceeding papers is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. It should be noted that status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should any questions arise regarding access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheela S. Rao

August 30, 2005

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

L-P.P